Lexis Advance全球法律信息数据库

Cases, Legislation, Law Reviews & Legal News

LexisNexis 律商联讯

LexisNexis

LexisNexis®

LexisNexis®

- 关于Lexis Advance
- Lexis Advance 的覆盖资源
- Lexis Advance 的检索方法
- 关注/联系我们

关于律商联讯

公司概况

律商联讯成立于:
1818年

全球总部所在地:
纽约

母公司:
励讯集团

全球业务:
服务于全球175多个国家的客户

全球员工数量:
超过9,500名

财务数据

律商联讯2014年营业额:
14亿英镑

上市交易代码:
NYSE: ENL; NYSE: RUK

服务对象

律商联讯为170多个国家和地区的法律、风险管理、公司、政府、专业咨询机构以及学术市场的客户提供世界领先的内容、信息分析工具和业务流程解决方案——帮助客户更迅速、更轻松、更有高效的完成他们的工作。

在美国，谁在使用律商联讯的信息和服务？
Who is using LexisNexis in U.S.?

97%的财富500强公司

97% of Fortune 500 Companies

美国最大的**100**家律师事务所

Top 100 largest law firms in U.S.

美国**1, 650**所大学中的**720**万名学生

7.2 million students of 1,650 Universities in US

LexisNexis®

LexisNexis®

- 关于Lexis Advance
- Lexis Advance 的覆盖资源
- Lexis Advance 的检索方法
- 关注/联系我们

LexisNexis®

Lexis Advance 覆盖资源

★Lexis Advance 收录的信息资源分为**Primary Law**、**Secondary Materials**和 **legal news**

- 美国联邦及各州法律（Primary Law）
  - international treaties
  - constitution
  - statutes
  - administrative codes & regulations
  - agency decisions
  - Register
- 美国及全球二次文献（Secondary Materials）
  - Jurisprudence
  - Law Review & Journal
  - Treatise/practice guide
  - Form
- 全球法律新闻（Legal news）

LexisNexis®

LexisNexis®

- 关于Lexis Advance
- Lexis Advance 的资源分类
- Lexis Advance 的检索方法
- 关注/联系我们

Lexis Advance 检索



LexisNexis®

关键词检索：一站式



LexisNexis®

第一步：预筛选。在搜索之前，通过特定条件（如：行政辖区、内容类别、实践领域）缩小搜索范围



LexisNexis®

第二步：输入关键词。系统自动推荐最相关的常用法律短语和文件，如果输入的是文献标题，Lexis Advance将推荐相关文献



第三步：检索结果优化。概览→特定文献类型



LexisNexis®

第三步：检索结果优化。二次搜索&细化结果



LexisNexis®

右侧筛选条件列举



LexisNexis®

示例：价格歧视——全部文献类型



LexisNexis®

示例：价格歧视——期刊文章




LexisNexis
Lexis Advance® | Research
Browse
price discrimination
Secondary Materials
Client: -None-
History
Help
Sign Out
Home / Secondary Materials / Results
Results for: price discrimination | Actions
Secondary Materials 6,073
Web Get
Narrow By
Secondary Materials
Clear
Search Within Results
Enter search terms
Category
Jurisdiction
Sources
Practice Areas & Topics
Attorney
Law Firm
Keyword
Judge
Secondary Materials (6,073)
Sort by: Relevance
1. 23 Cardozo L. Rev. 55
ARTICLE:COPYRIGHT LAW AND PRICE DISCRIMINATION, Michael J. Meurer*, 38707 words
... neglected until recently. Demsetz wrote the first economic analysis of price discrimination and copyright law that was cited by law professors. See ...
... (1970). His article makes the general normative point that price discrimination may increase profit and allocative efficiency. Later Stanley J. Liebowitz noted the role of price discrimination in markets for academic journals. See S. J. Liebowitz, Copyright Law, Photocopying, and Price Discrimination , in 8 Research in Law and Economics: The Economics of ...
... O. Zerbe, Jr. eds., 1986). Also, Besen and Kirby discussed price discrimination by music performance rights societies. See Stanley M. Besen & ...
... (discussing price discrimination and patent law). The price discrimination perspective helps unify the analysis of seemingly unrelated copyright policy ...
... part on whether the copyright owner practices a form of price discrimination that is socially desirable or undesirable. Even though copyright law is intimately connected to price discrimination, copyright theorists neglected the subject until recently ...
Content
Law Reviews and Journals
Jurisdiction
New York
Date
Nov 01, 2001
2. 2001 B.Y.U.L. Rev. 1383
ARTICLE:Distributing Products Under the Nonprofit Institutions Act: Price Discrimination, Arbitrage, and Fraud in the Pharmaceutical Industry, Dennis S. Corgill*, 35866 words
Defined instances of price discrimination whether at the primary, secondary, or tertiary level, are prohibited
Content
Law Reviews and Journals


LexisNexis
Lexis Advance® | Research
Browse
Enter terms, sources, a citation, or shep: to Shepardiz
Search: Everything
Client: -None-
History
Help
Sign Out
Document: ARTICLE:COPYRIGHT LAW AND PRICE DISCRIMINATION, 23 Cardozo L. Rev. 55 | Actions
Go to
Page
Page #
All terms
1964
Search Document
Results list
ARTICLE:COPYRIGHT LAW AND PRICE DISCRIMINATION, 23 Cardozo L. Rev. 55
Copy Citation
November, 2001
Reporter
23 Cardozo L. Rev. 55 *
Length: 38707 words
Author: Michael J. Meurer*
* Associate Professor of Law at Boston University School of Law. I thank Bob Bone, Ron Cass, Wendy Gordon, Keith Hylton, Mark Lemley, Doug Lichtman, Maureen O'Rourke, Suzanne Scotchmer and seminar participants at the University of Amsterdam, Boston University, the Canadian Law and Economics Association meeting, the University of Minnesota, the National Bureau of Economic Research, the Stanford/Yale Junior Faculty Forum, and the Technology Policy Research Conference for their helpful comments. I also thank Anthony Lee and Tariq Ashrati for able research assistance.
Shepard's®
Shepardize® this document
About This Document
Source Information
Cardozo Law Review & de novo
Topic Summaries
View reports (5)

LexisNexis

期刊文章：阅读要点

Highlight

Contemporary human rights law has seen direct international obligations extended to armed groups and businesses. This Article argues that international human rights obligations are crystallizing with respect to a further category of nonstate actor, political parties, albeit only in relation to political participation rights. After briefly examining the largely procedural nature and scope of those rights under international law, this Article surveys existing international and transnational sources of obligations of political parties, including both those in power and in opposition. In an effort to buttress these sources and encourage their proliferation, this Article then considers rationales for such obligations, building in part upon the rationales for human rights obligations of businesses and armed groups. Finally, the Article offers some thoughts on possible means of implementing political parties' emerging international obligations in respect of political participation rights.

文章主题概要，帮助快速判定是否为所需文献

Text

[*147]

Introduction

Footnotes（脚注），
文献交叉引用

States have traditionally stood alone as subjects of international law. Modern practice and scholarship have extended international legal personality or status, in varying degrees, to international organizations, individuals, armed groups, and businesses. [1] Political parties have not figured in this expansionary process, yet they are natural bearers of direct international obligations corresponding to political participation rights--essentially, the rights to vote and to stand in free, periodic elections--as enshrined in all major international human rights instruments, and as discussed further in **[*148]** Part I. [2] Though largely overlooked by scholarship, [3] such obligations are arguably already crystallizing with respect to political parties.

LexisNexis®

示例：价格歧视——判例



LexisNexis®


Lexis Advance® | Research
Browse
Price Discrimination
Cases
Client: -None-
History
Help
Sign Out
Home / Cases / Results
Results for: Price Discrimination | Actions
Cases 4,774
Web Get
Cases (4,774)
Price Discrimination
Narrow By
Cases
Clear
Search Within Results
Enter search terms
Court
Timeline
Publication Status
Sources
Practice Areas & Topics
Attorney
Law Firm
Most Cited
Sort by: Relevance
1. Falls City Indus. v. Vanco Beverage
Supreme Court of the United States | Mar 22, 1983 | 460 U.S. 428
Overview: In price discrimination case under Clayton Act, "meeting-competition" defense issue was remanded; trial court had not considered whether seller made reasonable showing that lower price met equally low price of competitor and was good faith response.
Summary
Terms: Summary
... case under Clayton Act, "meeting-competition" defense issue was remanded; trial court had not considered whether seller made reasonable showing that lower price met equally low price of competitor and was good faith response. Respondent distributor sued petitioner brewer, alleging price discrimination in violation of § 2(a) of the Clayton Act. The circuit court of appeals held that respondent had established a prima facie case ...
View this passage in full document

LexisNexis®


More
Select multiple
Tribal 1
Grande Ronde Tribal Ct. 1
Select multiple
Timeline
以缩短时间范围为例，查找近两年的案例
1911
2017
01/01/2015
12/31/2017
OK
Publication Status
Unreported 17,341
Results for: motion to compel arbitration
Actions
Cases 4301
Statutes and Legislation 1261
Secondary Materials 4521
Show more
Narrow By
Jan 01, 2015 to Dec 31, 2017
Clear
Search Within Results
Enter search terms
Court
Select courts to display at the top of this list. Edit Settings
Federal 2,888
1st Circuit 44
2nd Circuit 282
Cases (4,301)
筛选过后，案例数量降低，更为简便精确
1. Doctor's Assocs. v. Tripathi
United States District Court for the District of Connecticut | Nov 03, 2016 | 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17
... the proposition that this Court should transfer the Petition to Compel Arbitration to the Northern Dis
"notwithstanding the fact that a motion to compel arbitration is generally filed in the district where arl
at 26 (citing ...
... #16-2, May 17, 2016, Declaration of Manoj Tripathi Supporting 1) Motion to Dismiss, Abstain and/or
and 2) Opposition to Motion to Compel Arbitration ("Tripathi Dec.") at ¶2. Between November 15, 20
... the FAA prescribes the procedures courts follow when considering motions to compel arbitration
party aggrieved by the ...
... refusal of another to arbitrate under a written agreement for arbitration may petition ... for an order
proceed in the manner provided for in such agreement. ... ...
2. Campbell v. Verizon Wireless, LLC
United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama, Southern Division | Jan 29, 2015
Overview: Court would compel arbitration of plaintiff's claims pursuant to the FAA, 9 U.S.C.S. § 2, b
with positive assurance that the arbitration clauses were not susceptible of an interpretation that cove
defendant, and the arbitration agreement covered plaintiff's tort claims.

LexisNexis®

判例：阅读要点

打印 下载 邮件发送



判例：关键词高亮栏


Document: FTC v. ANHEUSER, 363 U.S. 536 | Court: Supreme Court | Date: June 20, 1960 | Actions
Go to
Page
Page #
All terms
344
Search Document
Results list
Sum
Headnotes
Opinion
Footnotes
Case Summary
Procedural Posture
Petitioner trade commission sought review of a decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit that set aside a cease-and-desist order against respondent, a national brewer, which precluded price discrimination under the Robinson-Patman Act, 15 U.S.C.S. § 13 (a), because the threshold statutory element of price discrimination was not established.
Overview
The United States Supreme Court reversed a decision that petitioner did not establish price discrimination under Robinson-Patman Act, 15 U.S.C.S. § 13(a). Petitioner's complaint described a pricing pattern, which adversely effected only upon a sellers' competition, commonly termed a primary-line competition, and not upon a buyers' competition, commonly termed a secondary-line competition. The Court held that the court of appeals erred in its construction of § 13(a) and that the evidence fully warranted a finding of price discrimination. The Court rejected respondent's argument that there must have been proof that the lower price was below cost or unreasonably low for the purpose or design of eliminating competition and thereby obtained a monopoly, and that because such a finding was lacking it could not be said that there was price discrimination. Price discrimination within the meaning of § 13(a) was merely a price difference.
Outcome
The Court reversed the decision setting aside cease-and-desist order that precluded respondent's price discrimination under the Robinson-Patman Act; the court of appeals erred in holding that petitioner did not establish the element of price discrimination. The case was remanded for further proceedings.
LexisNexis® Headnotes

LexisNexis®

判例：交叉引用法条

Jurisprudence

按类别查询：法条


Explore Content
Content Type
Federal
State
Practice Area or Industry
International
Cases
Statutes and Legislation
Administrative Codes and Regulations
Administrative and Agency Materials
Secondary Materials
Forms
Briefs, Pleadings and Motions
Jury Verdicts and Settlements
News
Legal News
Dockets
Scientific
Directories
Intellectual Property
Practical Guidance
Public Records


Content Type
Codes
Congressional Record
Court Rules
Municipal Codes
Bill Text
Constitutions
Legislative Histories
Public Laws/ALS
Bill Tracking
Court Orders
Model Acts and Uniform Laws
Treaties
Federal
Federal
State
Alabama
Alaska
Arizona
Arkansas
California
Colorado
Connecticut
Delaware
District of Columbia
Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Kansas
Kentucky
Louisiana
Maine
Maryland
Massachusetts
Nebraska
Nevada
New Hampshire
New Jersey
New Mexico
New York
North Carolina
North Dakota
Ohio
Rhode Island
South Carolina
South Dakota
Tennessee
Texas
Utah
Vermont
Virgin Islands
Virginia

Home / Statutes & Legislation / Codes / United States Code Service - Titles 1 through 54
United States Code Service - Titles 1 through 54
Actions
关键词检索
Advanced Search | Search Tips
Enter search terms
United States Code Service - Titles 1 through 54
Search All Documents in this source
Table of Contents (TOC) only
TITLE 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS
TITLE 2. THE CONGRESS
TITLE 3. THE PRESIDENT
TITLE 4. FLAG AND SEAL, SEAT OF GOVERNMENT, AND THE STATES
TITLE 5. GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION AND EMPLOYEES
TITLE 6. DOMESTIC SECURITY
TITLE 7. AGRICULTURE
TOC查看

按类别查询：行政法规


Home / Administrative Codes & Regulations / Administrative Codes
Administrative Codes
Actions
Advanced Search | Tips
Enter terms, sources, a citation, or shep: to Shepardize®
Administrative Codes
Federal
CFR - Code of Federal Regulations
联邦行政法规
State
各州行政法规
Alabama
Alaska
Arizona
Arkansas
Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Kansas
Nebraska
Nevada
New Hampshire
New Jersey
Rhode Island
South Carolina
South Dakota
Tennessee

LexisNexis®

Browse：对出版物的全文调取





Browse：对某一法律主题的检索
以竞争法为例



LexisNexis®

示例：竞争法




Top Sources
Primary Sources
Statutes & Regulations
State Codes, Constitutions, Court Rules & ALS
USCS - Trade - Titles 15, 18, 21 & 28
Get documents
Federal Register - Trade
LexisNexis 50 State Surveys, Legislation & Regulations
Case Law
FTC, DOJ and other Regulatory Materials
Secondary Sources
Leading Titles
ABA Section on Antitrust
CCH - Trade Regulation
Emerging Issues Analysis

LexisNexis®

示例：竞争法比较研究资料



LexisNexis®

LexisNexis®

- 关于Lexis Advance
- Lexis Advance 的资源分类
- Lexis Advance 的检索方法
- 关注/联系我们

LexisNexis®

公众号

微信公众号：律商视点

- ✓ 接收每日精彩内容推送
- ✓ 直接对法规、案例以及深度解读进行关键词检索
- ✓ “律商社区”，中国法律精英的交流互动平台

- ***Dresser Industries, Inc. v. United States*,** June 13, 1979, 596 F.2d 1231
- ***HOMEOWNERS PROTECTION ACT*,** Enacted, July 29, 1998
- ***MORE HOMESTEAD PROTECTION AND PREDICTABILITY FOR MASSACHUSETTS HOMEOWNERS?: EXAMINING THE EXPANDED COVERAGE UNDER AN ACT RELATIVE TO THE ESTATE OF HOMESTEAD*,** 35 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 99, 2013